

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/814,776 03/31/2004		03/31/2004	Hiroki Ashida	MIZ74	. 8630
6980	7590	03/16/2005	EXAMINER		INER
TROUTMA			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
600 PEACH		A PLAZA, SUITE 520 REET , NE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30308-2216				3711	
				DATE MAILED: 03/16/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(	$\not{y}$
(	РIJ

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/814,776	ASHIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen L. Blau	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ma	arch 2004						
	action is non-final.						
·							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)					
	, <u> </u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/814,776

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group 1 (Type of Layer Pattern):

- a. Species 1 (Fig. 7):
- b. Species 2 (Fig. 8):
- c. Species 3 (Fig. 9):
- d. Species 4 (Fig. 10):
- e. Species 5 (Fig. 11):

Note: The linear density profile in group 2 must match the type of layer pattern selected in group 1. It is not known if all the density profiles and/or layers patterns were listed.

Group 2 (Type of linear density profile):

- a. Species 1 (Fig. 2, 1st Example):
- a. Species 2 (Fig. 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> Example):
- b. Species 3 (Fig. 2, 3<sup>rd</sup> Example):
- c. Species 4 (Fig. 2, 4th Example):

Analiantian (Cantal Number

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/814,776 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

d. Species 5 (Fig. 3, 5<sup>th</sup> Example):

e. Species 6 (Fig. 3, 6th Example):

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is uncertain what claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,776 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

2. A telephone call was not made to Mr. Gerald R. Boss on 12 March 2005 to

request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity of

this restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406.

The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the

examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone

number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/ 12 March 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER